


CME EVALUATION SURVEY


At the end of the MEET 2007 congress 1 out of 3 physicians filled in the evaluation forms in conformity with the UEMS EVCME Guidelines. The CME Evaluation Survey here below is based on their answers.

The primary reason for attending the congress was the update of the overall knowledge or of a particular technique. 92% of the participants were satisfied in general by the congress programme in terms of fulfilling this goal as by each of its elements in particular.


Reasons for attending the conference



Satisfaction from congress programme




Evaluation of the programme's elements




In the evaluation of the educational needs the MEET attendees rated at first place the updating of the knowledge about new device with more than 80% (extremely important & important), followed by the new diagnostic mode and the new therapeutic potential. The classical Surgical Techniques were rated as the least important.

Importance of the educational needs




The participants' majority defined as important for their practice the Evidence based practice and Endovascular treatment. The least important here again was the Classical Surgical treatment.

Topics Importance



Overall Evaluation



Four fifth of the MEET attendees that have participated to the survey were satisfied by the congress programme and the congress organisation (congress staff, hotels, accommodation and meeting facilities). The prices were evaluated as last year.

The participants were asked to make a summary of the information which will be useful in their practice. The more frequent topics were Endovascular AAA; Endovascular New Technology; Thoracic Dissection ; BTK intervention; Endovascular treatment in various kinds of arrhythmic; Practical issues of endovascular techniques; Stenograph procedures and drug therapy; Update techniques on EVAR and TEVAR; Updating on Risk/Complication of Procedures; New generation of stentgrafts; New devices in peripheral intervention and problem solving; EPD; Carotid stenting information and with below-knees interventions procedures.

The attendee's majority abstained from making comments on MEET 2007 as they were asked in the last question of the inquiry. Nevertheless, there were 4 recommendations, 5 congratulations and 2 critiques.

Recommendations:

- More practical information;
- More vascular surgeons in debates presentations to have a balanced views;
- Training on simulators;
- More topics;

Congratulations:

- Good congress, to be continued next year!
- Excellent meeting!
- It is a good educational meeting!
- Everything is good. I think next time is available for me!
- I will be here next year!

Critiques:

- Sometimes there is few time for discussion after clinical cases, and it would be very interesting to discuss about indication, especially when a bad indication was made.
- Nothing special, no new topics.