



**BUILDING
ENDOVASCULAR
SYNERGIES**

MEET 2015
MULTIDISCIPLINARY EUROPEAN
ENDOVASCULAR THERAPY


MEET 2015

Statistics


General figures

- 315 registrants
- 65 faculty members
- 62 industrialists
- 134 communications
- 41 countries represented at the congress


No show/ Present




Breakdown by category




Breakdown by speciality



Geographic breakdown



Geographic breakdown within Europe




LIVE statistics


General data

- 585 visits
- 307 unique visitors
- Average time spent : 17min48
- 5464 accumulated minutes of visualization
broadband


Live followers : breakdown by specialities




Live followers: geographic breakdown



Live sources



Live devices





MEET 2015
MULTIDISCIPLINARY EUROPEAN
ENDOVASCULAR THERAPY


**BUILDING
ENDOVASCULAR
SYNERGIES**

Participant's evaluation


At the end of MEET 2015, 96 participants filled out the evaluation forms in conformity with the UEMS EVCME Guidelines.

The CME Evaluation Survey hereafter is based on their answers


Primary reason for attending MEET 2015




Does the congress fulfilled this goal?




Abstracts – Rating




Meeting with faculties – Rating




Discussion periods– Rating




Exhibits – Rating




Satellite Symposia – Rating




New diagnostic mode – Rating




New therapeutical potential – Rating




Learn about new devices– Rating




Receive hands on training – Rating




Classical surgical techniques– Rating




Overall rating of the congress




Meeting facilities – Radisson Blu




Congress prices




Scientific program




Voting system




Official dinner venue




Official dinner catering




Congress staff




Congress catering




Registration and information desk




Radisson Blu Nice




Adaggio Access Nice




Mercure Nice



Did you know about the free direct broadcast of the whole congress?



Do you intend to enjoy free VOD after the meeting?



Usefulness of information received

- New endoprosteses by Mario lachat
- Update on carotid treatment
- Good over-all congress with good facilities and information
- Excellent conference- thank you
- Different ways of managing vascular pathology - eg: dissecting thoracic aortic aneurysm. Anti-platelet use
- Place of DEB in lower exteemity CLI versus DES and other techniques. Management of false lumen in TEVAR for dissection.
- Debulking + DEB in CTO of SFA Covered stents of popliteal aneurysms
- Good over all meeting with good information and presentations
- Update on carotid artery disease, management of BTK pathology and new information with regards to EVAS and overall complex aortic pathology.
- Gestion des complications des thromboses intra stent
- use of BTK
- Some new tips and tricks about BTK procedures State of the art concerning therapy for asymptomatic carotis lesions
- new devices ,The Information i got was as every year at the MEET Congress very useful for me, otherwise i would n`t go new aproach of carotid pathology to give no neurological complications . new type of graphs for infrainguinl revasc to give more patency
- Below knee percutaneous intervention management of aortic dissection
- Advances in aortic work and new devices that are coming was of most benefit.
- Carotid

Comments

- Excellent congress with International high better specialists of arterial pathology. My time was only one journey on Congress auditorium course .
- Indications and therapeutic planning are not clear may be due to -there is less vascular surgeons and more interventionists (radiologists , cardiologists) presentations and chairing sessions ..
- Very enjoyable
- An excellent meeting with great facilities and venue. The speakers were often repeating previously covered topics (?aware of other speaker topics) and there was generally poor time keeping by the chairs of the sessions. I feel there was more to be learned from a two day conference or more time to be had for discussion/debate that was lost to repetitiveness. This is balanced by the fact I learned a great deal within the two days.
- Good work
- Unfortunately, sessions were not on time, leaving insufficient time for discussion. In carotid session, too much overlap, better communication between speakers beforehand is required.
- In 2014 meet I was the winner (2nd rank) of video presentation, it was astonishing for me to find one of the talks on BTK in meet 2015 discussing and standardizing the technique I used in the previous year. This should be translated into more and more emphasize on the challenging cases in video presentation which were not in the scope of this year meeting!!!
- Enjoyed the meeting. Very worthwhile attending
- Interesting meeting overall, superb location.
- Presentations must keep to time - chairpersons should not allow presenters to go beyond their allotted time slots
- The trainees session at the end was rather disappointing in terms of numbers. Even one of the chair people had to leave in the middle! I appreciate that people have transport to catch, but if the Committee doesn't value the session, why should anyone else? A lot of work went into the presentations, and they weren't really valued by the organizers - stuck at the end, one chairperson who couldn't stay for the end, most of the room empty. Sorry for the negative comments, however, I hope they come across as constructive




BUILDING
ENDOVASCULAR
SYNERGIES

MEET 2015
MULTIDISCIPLINARY EUROPEAN
ENDOVASCULAR THERAPY


MEET 2015 Session paramédicale

Statistiques


Spécialités




Pertinence des newsletter




Intérêt du programme scientifique



Qualité des intervenants




Pensez-vous que les thèmes abordés lors de la réunion viseront à l'amélioration de votre pratique?




Session la plus appréciée

- Carotide
- la radio protection préparation de matériels
- AAA
- radioprotection
- Les recommandations de revascularisation
- utilisation de la salle hybride. Intérêt d' un protecteur cérébral. Il est dommage que la session paramédicale soit si peu représentée. Plus il y a de personnes et de sujets traités, plus nous pouvons débattre, partager et nous former.
- la radio protection en salle de cathétérisme robert valette les ballons d'angioplasties périphériques
- angioplastie membre inferieur


Effacité de l'organisation générale




Accueil sur place




Qualité du lieu




Facilité d'accès




Facilité de parking



Qualité de la salle de réunion



Qualité de la restauration



A la fin de ce questionnaire, 100% des participants ont répondu oui à la question “ Participerez vous à la prochaine édition?”

Commentaires

- Journée enrichissante
- Il est dommage que la session paramédicale soit si peu représentée. Plus il y a de personnes et de sujets traités, plus nous pouvons débattre, partager et nous former.